

ANNEX Q – LSC REPORT TEMPLATE

CONTENTS



A. Project Description

1. Title of the project activity
2. Project eligibility under Gold Standard
3. Current project status

B. Design of Stakeholder Consultation Process

1. Description of physical meeting(s)
 - i. Agenda
 - ii. Non-technical summary
 - iii. Invitation tracking table
 - iv. Text of individual invitations
 - v. Text of public invitations
2. Description of other consultation methods used

C. Consultation Process

1. Participants' in physical meeting(s)
 - i. List
 - ii. Evaluation forms
2. Pictures from physical meeting(s)
3. Outcome of consultation process
 - i. Minutes of physical meeting(s)
 - ii. Minutes of other consultations
 - iii. Assessment of all comments
 - iv. Revisit sustainable development assessment
 - v. Summary of changes to project design based on comments

D. Sustainable Development Assessment

1. Own sustainable development assessment
 - i. 'Do no harm' assessment
 - ii. Sustainable development matrix
2. Stakeholders blind sustainable development matrix
3. Consolidated sustainable development matrix

E. Sustainability Monitoring Plan

1. Discussion on Sustainability monitoring Plan
2. Discussion on continuous input / grievance mechanism

F. Description of Stakeholder Feedback Round

Annex 1. Original participants list

Annex 2. Original feedback forms

SECTION A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. 1. Title of the project activity

Title: WWF Ningshan County High Efficient Cook Stove Project

Date: 22/09/2013

Version no.: 03

A. 2. Project eligibility under the Gold Standard

Micro scale VER project with expected emission reduction lower than 10,000tCO₂e.

Project is engaged in reducing the non-renewable biomass for household by improve the efficiency of the cook stove with the type of ***Improved distributed heating and cooking devices***.

The project reduces the greenhouse gas of CO₂.

The project hasn't been involved in ODA.

The project hasn't previously announced to be going ahead without the revenues from carbon credits.

The proposed project won't apply for any other certification.

A. 3. Current project status

The project investment is in place in the early of 2013.

The project has finished baseline survey in Aril 2013.

The expected construction start date is in July 2013.

The project doesn't need to purchase any professional instrument.

SECTION B. DESIGN OF STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS

B. 1. Design of physical meeting(s)

i. Agenda

Date: 08/06/2013		
Ref.	Time	Content
1	10:40-10:50	Meeting subject and project and participants introduction.
2	10:50-11:00	Question and clarify of the project.
3	11:00-11:25	Blind sustainable form and development discussion.
4	11:25-11:30	Monitoring plan discussion.
5	11:30-11:45	Fill in the Evaluation Form.
6	11:45	Project comments and grievance collecting method declaration.

ii. Non-technical summary

This is project plans to reconstruct or improve 1379 cookstoves in 14 community villages neighboring the giant panda habitat located within Huangguan Town, Simudi Town and Xinchang town of Ningshan County, Shaanxi Province during 2013 to 2014. The project aims to protect local forest resources and giant panda habitat as well as to improve the indoor living and hygiene environment of local households by replacing the current low efficient fixed cookstoves in the kitchen with high-efficient ones.

Nowadays, wood is still the primary fuel source for cooking and heating in the local communities within the deep mountains in Ningshan County. Every year each household needs to collect a huge amount of wood from their forest area and store in their house. As a result, the forest has been degraded over years.

The high efficient cookstove is a mature technology in China, which can save about 40-60% wood by improving the thermal efficiency of the fuel, and it can also extract the smoke and gas out of the kitchen to better protect the health. Meanwhile, the

project can significantly reduce the workload and time spent on cutting wood every year and hence improves the community development.

The non-technology description is supplied in the post invitation and the back of the LSC meeting evaluation table in local language to make sure the stakeholders could understand it.

iii. Invitation tracking table

[See Toolkit 2.6 and Annex J]

Category code	Organisation (if relevant)	Name of invitee	Way of invitation	Date of invitation	Confirmation received? Y/N
A	Villager		Post	02/06/2013	N
B	Agriculture and Industry department of Ningshan	Zhang Zhiqing	Telephone	31/05/2013	Y
B	Forest Bureau of Ningshan	Xu Yonghui	Telephone	31/05/2013	Y
B	Alleviate poverty Office of Ningshan	Zhang Yunchao	Telephone	31/05/2013	Y
B	Major of Huangguan, Xinchang and Simudi towns	Sun Li, Huang Yunyi and Xue Yuanyou	Telephone	31/05/2013	Y
C	NDRC	master@ccchina.gov.cn	Email	26/05/2013	N
D	Association of the tourist in Huangguan town	Zhu Yunde	Telephone	07/06/2013	Y
E	Gold Standard Beijing office	Annyta Luo	Email	26/05/2013	Y
F	REEEP, Mercycorps, Helio-International,	info@reep.org donorservices@mercycorps.org	Email	26/05/2013	No

	WWF, PCD	org_helio@helio-international.org wwfchina@wwfchina.org info@pcd.org.hk			
--	----------	--	--	--	--

The local stakeholder consultation meeting was hold in the Youpingfang Village of Huangguan town, so the villagers nearby were widely invited via oral and post notice as the presents of the people impacted by the project. Female were encouraged to take part in the meeting.

The relevant government part such as Agriculture and Industry Department of Ningshan, Forest Bureau of Ningshan, Alleviate poverty Office of Ningshan as well as the majors from the involved 3 towns are chose as the police makers.

NDRC is the DNA of P.R.China.

Association of Poultry Farming in Ningshan County is the local NGO located in Huangguan town or Ningshan County.

The nearest local GS export for the project is Gold Standard Beijing Office, so the officer Annyta Luo was invited via email.

REEEP, Mercycorps, Helio-International, WWF, PCD are the recommended NGO who supports Gold Standard.

iv. Text of individual invitations

Dear Sir/Madam,

We would like to invite you to attend the shareholder hearing meeting on the Project of GS VER Cookstove improvement for the communities surrounding Giant Panda Habitat in Ningshan County, Shaanxi Province. According to the Golden Standards methodology requirement, your participation may be very helpful to the success of this project.

From 2013 to 2014, Huangguanshan Nature reserve in cooperation with WWF plans to build or modify the fixed cookstoves in 14 community villages located within Huangguan Town, Simudi Town and Xinchang Town, which are the communities surrounding giant panda habitat in Ningshan County. The objective of this project is focused on improving the thermal efficiency of the current cookstoves and thus to reduce the firewood use. It is expected that with the project implementation the local forests can be saved and protected, the carbon dioxide emission be decreased and the health of households in rural areas be improved.

Nowadays, the local communities are still replying on wood as the only fuel sources for cooking and heating. Every year, each household cuts and consumes a huge


amount of firewood from their forest area, which has led to that the forest has been degraded over years. High efficient stove is mature technology in China and it can save around 40% to 60% fuel use by improving the thermal efficiency. It also extracts smoke and gas out of the kitchen, which provides a clean and smoke-free kitchen environment for households. At the meantime, it significantly reduces the workload and time for the local communities spent on firewood collection.

The meeting will be held on June 8th, 2013, in Huangguan township government of Ningshan County, Shaanxi Province. Your participation is welcome. Due to the project budget is really small scale and limited, your cost on attending the meeting will not be covered.

Thank you,

XXXX
Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve

v. Text of public invitations





B. 2. Description of other consultation methods used

Consulting the Rural Energy office of Ningshan County to discuss the feasibility of the stove type and old stove situation.

SECTION C. CONSULTATION PROCESS

C. 1. Participants' in physical meeting(s)

i. List of participants

[See Toolkit 2.6.1 and Annex J]

Please attach original participants' list (in original language) as Annex 1.

Participants list					
Date and time:08/06/2013					
Location: Committee Office of Youpingfang Village, Huangguan Town, Ningshan County					
Category Code	Name of participant, job/ position in the community	Male/ Female	Signature	Organisation (if relevant)	Contact details
B	Xu Yongwang/Engineer	M		Ningshan Forest Bureau	13700251292
B	Zhu Ping/officer	M		Ningshan Forest Bureau	13891531910
B	Xue Yuanyou/Vice town major	M		Simudi town	13891587549
B	Huang Yunyi/Vice town major	M		Xinchang town	13700251181
B	Liu Gefei/Officer	M		Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve	13992583899
B	Deng Pingyan/Officer	M		Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve	13571456879
B	Liu Xiaoshan/Officer	M		Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve	18909153339
B	Yu Gehuan/Officer	M		Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve	18791580347
B	Peng Tao/Officer	M		Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve	13992533699
A	Shi Weige				13772241825
A	Yi Songming	M			13909150645
B	Sun Li/Vice Major of Huangguan Town manager	F		Huangguan Town government	13259156088
A	Zhu Deying/ Villager	M			13571420907

A	Fan Yingkui/ Villager	M			13991559410
A	Wu Hengxi/ Villager	M			13772230995
A	Fan Yingkai/ Villager	M			
A	Chen Guanghuan/ Villager	M			
A	Lv Chengying/ Villager	F			13991551106
A	Zhang Qun/ Villager	F			13991550468
A	Xiong Dong/ Villager	M			13992501853
A	Fan Yingfu/ Villager	M			15991189452
A	Hu Zixiu/ Villager	F			
A	Lu Zuoxian/ Villager	M			
A	Wang Wenfa/ Villager	M			
A	Wang Shangkai/ Villager	M			13881522547
A	Cheng Xianyu/ Villager	F			
A	Wang Guangcui/ Villager	F			13772246221
A	Chen Daocui/ Villager	F			15991182016
A	Yue Zhongfang/ Villager	F			13992592421
A	Shi Weifen/ Villager	F			
A	Chen Yongbo/ Villager	M			18791554361
A	Gao Yuancong/ Villager	F			
A	Gao Wenqu/ Villager	M			13649157528
A	Lu Kaiyou/ Villager	M			13571425917
A	Cheng Liangbing/ Villager	M			15029452426
A	Tan Chengyu/ Villager	F			6061079
A	Chen Yumei/ Villager	F			13772230995
A	Yue Zhongyu/ Villager	F			13649157567
A	Wang Shangzhou/ Villager	M			13571427200
A	Gu Yongcai/ Villager	M			15229557060
A	Tang Kaifa/ Villager	M			15992549706
A	Liu Xianqin/ Villager	F			13571441589
D	Zhu Yunde/Manager	M		Association of Huangguan tourist	13992535116

A	Xu Jiaxiang/ Villager	F			
A	Gao Fei/ Villager	M			
A	Gao Yuanshuai/ Villager	M			
A	Ma Quanxi/ Villager	M			
A	Chen Kexiao/ Villager	M			
A	Wang Fangwu/ Villager	M			
A	Guo Zhilian/ Villager	F			
A	Pu Jianchun/ Villager	F			
A	Gao Li/ Villager	F			
A	Wang Wenxiang	F			
F	Wan Hui/ Officer	F		WWF	15029755355
F	Liu Junli/ Officer	M		WWF	13609287975

Comments accompanying Annex 1

No comments.

ii. Evaluation forms

[See Toolkit 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and Annex J]

Please add at least 4-5 representative samples in English.

Please attach original evaluation forms (in original language) as Annex 2.

Name	Guo Zhilian
What is your impression of the meeting?	I'm very satisfied with the meeting. Appreciate with the donating part and Government.
What do you like about the project?	The improve of the cook stove could reduce the firewood consumption and air pollution caused by cooking smoke and protect the forest resource. I think it a very good project.
What do you not like about the project?	No
Signature	

Name	Xiong Dong
------	------------

What is your impression of the meeting?	Very Good
What do you like about the project?	Reduce resource, save labour and improve the sanitation.
What do you not like about the project?	
Signature	

Name	Xue Yuanyou
What is your impression of the meeting?	The meeting is well organized. The participants are very activity during discussion.
What do you like about the project?	Could save resource, reduce the waste of the resource; improve the life and environment sanitation for rural households.
What do you not like about the project?	No
Signature	

Name	Wang Shangzhou
What is your impression of the meeting?	Very satisfied.
What do you like about the project?	Save the forest resource, improve the firewood usage condition and save labours in collecting firewood.
What do you not like about the project?	No
Signature	

Comments accompanying Annex 2

No opposite opinion is collected from the evaluation table. Participants understand the project very well after the discussion.

C. 2. Pictures from physical meeting(s)





C. 3. Outcome of consultation process

i. Minutes of physical meeting(s)

1. Introduce the project to the participants.
2. Discussed the environmental and sustainable impacts of the project.
3. No negative comment was received from the participants. All the local people are very glad to replace the old stoves with the new ones.

During the meeting someone mentioned there was also government subsidies several years ago and want to know if they could get money from the project. The organizer clarified that the subsidy has ended for a long period; there is no any official subsidy anymore. The proposed project would afford the main costs of the material and the workers.

The participants were all agree go corporate the monitoring later on.

The meeting declared the input/grievance mechanism to the participants and encouraged further comments from the public.

ii. Minutes of other consultations

The Rural Energy office of Ningshan County believe the project meet the need of the target local communities and give the suggestions on the proper stove type for local people.

iii. Assessment of all comments

[See Toolkit 2.6]

Stakeholder comment	Was comment taken into account (Yes/ No)?	Explanation (Why? How?)
Government Subsidy	Yes	<p>The subsidy has ended for a period. There is no any subsidy for this type of project.</p> <p>But the project would afford the main cost of material and works salary. The users don't need to pay any money for that.</p>

iv. Revisit sustainability assessment

Are you going to revisit the sustainable development assessment?	Yes	No
<p>Please note that this is necessary when there are indicators scored 'negative' or if there are stakeholder comments that can't be mitigated</p> <p>[See Toolkit 2.7]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Give reasoning behind the decision

There is no negative indicator during the meeting.

v. Summary of alterations based on comments

If stakeholder comments have been taken into account and any aspect of the project modified, then please discuss that here.

N/A

SECTION D. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

D. 1. Own sustainable development assessment

i. 'Do no harm' assessment

[See Toolkit 2.4.1 and Annex H]

Safeguarding principles	Description of relevance to my project	Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low, medium, high)	Mitigation measure
1 The project respects internationally proclaimed human rights including dignity, cultural property and uniqueness of indigenous people. The project is not complicit in human rights abuses.	The Constitution of the People's Republic of China regulates that the nation respect and protect human rights including dignity, cultural property and uniqueness of indigenous people. The habit of the local people	Low	N/A

	is considered and studied seriously previously. The new stove won't compact the cooking habits or their living manners.		
2 The project does not involve and is not complicit in involuntary resettlement.	No resettlement involved in the project.	Low	N/A
3 The project does not involve and is not complicit in the alteration, damage or removal of any critical cultural heritage.	The project engages in take the place of the old stoves within the indoor and could do no harm to any cultural heritage.	Low	N/A
4 The project respects the employees' freedom of association and their right to collective bargaining and is not complicit in restrictions of these freedoms and rights.	N/A Since the project is an end-user project, there is no employee in the project.	Low	N/A
5 The project does not involve and is not complicit in any form of forced or compulsory labor.	There is no employee in the project.	Low	N/A
6 The project does not employ and is not complicit in any form of child labor.	There is no employee in the project.	Low	N/A
7 The project does not involve and is not complicit in any form of discrimination based on gender, race, religion, sexual orientation or any other basis.	There is no employee in the project.	Low	N/A
8 The project provides workers with a safe and healthy work environment and is not complicit in exposing workers to unsafe or unhealthy work	There is no employee in the project.	Low	N/A

environments.			
9 The project takes a precautionary approach in regard to environmental challenges and is not complicit in practices contrary to the precautionary principle. This principle can be defined as: "When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically."	<p>The project would reduce the air pollution and woodcutting and protect the human health and environment.</p> <p>There is no environmental risk producing from the cook stove.</p>	Low	N/A
10 The project does not involve and is not complicit in significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats, including those that are (a) legally protected, (b) officially proposed for protection, (c) identified by authoritative sources for their high conservation value or (d) recognized as protected by traditional local communities.	<p>The goal of the project is reduce the natural impact of human. As the operating of the project, the forest and biodiversity would be well protected.</p> <p>As a sustainable and environment-friendly project, the chance to threaten the natural habitats.</p>	Low	N/A
11 The project does not involve and is not complicit in corruption.	The project would supply the HES to each family within the project boundary in a quite fair manner. The project budget would be put into use at one time in the beginning of the project, so there is no corruption risk goes along with the project.	Low	N/A
Additional relevant critical issues for my project type	Description of relevance to my project	Assessment of relevance to my project (low, medium,	Mitigation measure

		high)	
1 Whether the new stove meet the cook habit of the local inhibitions.	The new stove would not change the cooking instrument and habit of the local household. The type of the new stove is similar to the old ones.	Low	N/A

ii. Sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.4.2 and Annex I]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals	Defined by project developer	<u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated <u>No change in impact:</u> score '0' <u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'
Air quality		Improve maternal health. Reduce child	Reduce indoor cooking smoke pollution. The air-flow	+

		mortality. Ensure environmental sustainability	system in the old stoves of the baseline usually hasn't been designed very well and most has broken. The smoking pollution is quite common in the local community.	
Water quality and quantity		Ensure environmental sustainability	Not directly related to the water quality and quantity. Project could potentially purify the river by protect the forest.	0
Soil condition		Ensure environmental sustainability	Not directly related to the water quality and quantity. Project could Slow down the degrading of forest, and in hence protect and improve the soil in the long way.	0
Other pollutants		Improve maternal health. Reduce child mortality	Cook stoves won't cause any other pollution.	0

Biodiversity		Ensure environmental sustainability: Reduce biodiversity loss, achieving, by 2012, a significant reduction in the rate of loss	Reduce the human impact to the forest and protect the biodiversity.	+
Quality of employment		N/A	The proposed project won't supply job positions.	0
Livelihood of the poor		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	The impact of the project toward health care or sanitation instrument is limited.	0
Access to affordable and clean energy services		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	Reduce the traditional firewood consumption .	+
Human and institutional capacity		Achieve universal primary education	The project won't supplies additional training opportunity to women and children.	0
Quantitative employment and income generation		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	Supply more job opportunities for local people and improve their income.	0

Balance of payments and investment		N/A	The chance of the project bringing more investment is unclear.	0
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance		N/A	No new technology is import from outside.	0

No comments accompanies with own sustainable development matrix.

D. 2. Stakeholders Blind sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.6.1]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	<p>Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org</p> <p>Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals</p>	Defined by project developer	<p><u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated</p> <p><u>No change in impact:</u></p>

				score '0'
				<u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'
Air quality		<p>Improve maternal health.</p> <p>Reduce child mortality.</p> <p>Ensure environmental sustainability</p>	Indoors air pollution: would the project worse or improve the smoke pollution?	+
Water quality and quantity		Ensure environmental sustainability	Do you think the project has positive or negative impact to water resource? If the project could and protect the source of water?	+
Soil condition		Ensure environmental sustainability	What kind of impact the project has to the soil? Good or bad?	0
Other pollutants		<p>Improve maternal health.</p> <p>Reduce child mortality</p>	Is there any other pollution the project could cause, such as noise, light or any others?	0
Biodiversity		<p>Ensure environmental sustainability:</p> <p>Reduce biodiversity loss, achieving, by 2012, a significant</p>	Is there any animal in danger around here? Is it good or bad for them as operating of the project?	+

		reduction in the rate of loss		
Quality of employment		N/A	Could the project bring more jobs and improve the work environment?	0
Livelihood of the poor		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	Could the project raise the income of local people? Could the project improve the healthy care or sanitation condition?	0
Access to affordable and clean energy services		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	If the project could reduce the reliable on the traditional fuel? Could the project reduce the expense on the fuel?	+
Human and institutional capacity		Achieve universal primary education	If the project could bring more education opportunity for local people especially women?	0
Quantitative employment and income generation		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	If the project could increase job opportunity and income?	0
Balance of payments and investment		N/A	What kind of subsequence about the investment the project could cause? More, less or no	0

			difference?	
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance		N/A	Do you think the project could bring more new technology from outside?	0

Comments resulting from the stakeholders blind sustainable development matrix

Participants were accord with the indicators, there was no negative comments produced during the blind sustainable development matrix assessment.

Give analysis of difference between own sustainable development matrix and the one resulting from the blind exercise with stakeholders. Explain how both were consolidated.

The only difference between the own and blind matrix assessment is the Water quality and quantity indicator.

In the self-matrix stage, developer thinks it was very hard to value the impact from the firewood saving to the water source quantitative. The impact is usually long-term with fine features, it could be difficult prove it during the monitoring period.

For the local people, the long terms of environment education from the Nature reserve makes them have the direct impress that protecting the forest could protect the water source.

As the project hasn't any negative risk but hard to monitoring and prove which could cost a huge amount of money and work, we mark the consolidate result as "0".

D. 3. Consolidated sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.4.2]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	<p>Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org</p> <p>Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals</p>	Defined by project developer	<p><u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated</p> <p><u>No change in impact:</u> score '0'</p> <p><u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'</p>
Air quality		<p>Improve maternal health.</p> <p>Reduce child mortality.</p> <p>Ensure environmental sustainability</p>	<p>Reduce indoor cooking smoke pollution.</p> <p>The air-flow system in the old stoves of the baseline usually hasn't been designed very well and most has broken. The</p>	+

			smoking pollution is quite common in the local community.	
Water quality and quantity		Ensure environmental sustainability	Not directly related to the water quality and quantity. Project could potentially purify the river by protect the forest.	0
Soil condition		Ensure environmental sustainability	Not directly related to the water quality and quantity. Project could Slow down the degrading of forest, and in hence protect and improve the soil in the long way.	0
Other pollutants		Improve maternal health. Reduce child mortality	Cook stoves won't cause any other pollution.	0
Biodiversity		Ensure environmental sustainability: Reduce biodiversity loss, achieving, by 2012, a significant reduction in the rate of loss	Reduce the human impact to the forest and protect the biodiversity.	+
Quality of employment		N/A	The proposed project won't supply job	0

Livelihood of the poor		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	New stove can extract the smoke and gas out of the kitchen to protect the health of local inhibitions. The Project can also significantly reduce the workload and time spent to cutting wood every year and improve the community development.	+
Access to affordable and clean energy services		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	Reduce the traditional firewood consumption.	+
Human and institutional capacity		Achieve universal primary education	The project won't supplies additional training opportunity to women and children.	0
Quantitative employment and income generation		Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger	Supply more job opportunities for local people and improve their income.	0
Balance of payments and investment		N/A	The chance of the project bringing more investment is unclear.	0
Technology transfer and technological		N/A	No new technology is import from	0

self-reliance			outside.	
Justification choices, data source and provision of references A justification paragraph and reference source is required for each indicator, regardless of score				
Air quality	Fang Shen, Study on the status of Exposure to Indoor Smoke by women and children and study on intervention strategy in poor rural areas [D]. Sichuan: Sichuan University, 2003.			
Water quality and quantity	Peili Shi, Wenhua Li, Influence of forest cover change on hydrological process and watershed runoff [J]. JOURNAL OF NATURAL RESOURCES, 2001, 16(5) http://www.doc88.com/p-0846178395530.html			
Soil condition	Ping Sun, Xinquan Zhao, Shixiao Xu, Influence of Land Utilization on Biodiversity [J]. Ecological Economy, 2002(1)			
Other pollutants	Fang Shen, Study on the status of Exposure to Indoor Smoke by women and children and study on intervention strategy in poor rural areas [D]. Sichuan: Sichuan University, 2003.			
Biodiversity	Ping Sun, Xinquan Zhao, Shixiao Xu, Influence of Land Utilization on Biodiversity [J]. Ecological Economy, 2002(1)			
Quality of employment	Baseline report of the proposed project			
Livelihood of the poor	Fang Shen, Study on the status of Exposure to Indoor Smoke by women and children and study on intervention strategy in poor rural areas [D]. Sichuan: Sichuan University, 2003.			
Access to affordable and clean energy services	Baseline report of the proposed project			
Human and institutional capacity	Baseline report of the proposed project			
Quantitative employment and income generation	Baseline report of the proposed project			
Balance of payments and investment	Baseline report of the proposed project			
Technology transfer and	Baseline report of the proposed project			

technological self-reliance	
-----------------------------	--

References can be an academic or non-academic source, such as a university research document, a feasibility study report, EIA, relevant website, etc.

SECTION E. SUSTAINABILITY MONITORING PLAN

E. 1. Discussion on Sustainability monitoring Plan

[See Toolkit 2.4.3 and 2.6.1]

For the monitoring method, no suggestion about monitoring was received during the meeting.

All the villagers stated that they would be glad to corporate the survey or research during the monitoring period.

E. 2. Discussion on continuous input / grievance mechanism

[See Annex W]

Discuss the Continuous input / grievance mechanism expression method and details, as discussed with local stakeholders.

	Method Chosen (include all known details e.g. location of book, phone, number, identity of mediator)	Justification
Continuous Input / Grievance Expression Process Book	The book is kept in the office of Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve.	Participants of the LSC meeting is invited to contact the Nature reserve for comments or grievances via phone or book.
Telephone access	13992583899	Liu Gefei, the major of the Ningshan Huangguanshan Nature Reserve
Internet/email access	gefeiliu6@126.com	Liu Gefei, the major of the Ningshan Huangguanshan

		Nature Reserve
Nominated Independent Mediator (optional)		

All issues identified during the crediting period through any of the Methods shall have a mitigation measure in place. The identified issue should be discussed in the revised Passport and the corresponding mitigation measure should be added to sustainability monitoring plan

SECTION F.	DESCRIPTION OF THE DESIGN OF THE STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK ROUND
-------------------	--

[See Toolkit 2.11]

The stakeholder feedback round would be organized during the validation stage consulting the participants during this meeting including the absent part via interview phone and email.

The information would be supplied later on.

Project documents including PDD/Passport/LSC report should be uploaded to the Registry and open for comments for a period of at least two months before the validation is finalized.

ANNEX 1. ORIGINAL PARTICIPANTS LIST

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
孙江	男		宁陕县林业局工程师	187006090
朱平	男		宁陕县林业局司机	1369153190
薛进良	男		宁陕县林业局	13291287269
李进良	男		宁陕县林业局	13700257181
刘成化	男		宁陕县林业局	13992583899
胡平荣	男		宁陕县林业局	13571456879
胡小山	男		宁陕县林业局	1390943117
胡国社	男		宁陕县林业局	18791000347
胡清	男		宁陕县林业局	13992583899
石维裕				13772241825
马宇峰	男			13909150645
胡有	女		皇道镇	13257156088

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
郭国良	男		村民	18571820907
樊成奎	男		村民	13991559910
郭成奎	男		村民	13772230995
樊成开	男		村民	
陈广环	男		村民	
周成荣	女		村民	13791551106
朱群	女		村民	13991550468
熊平	男		村民	1403501803
樊成富	男		村民	15991189462
胡子秀	女		村民	

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
卢发荣	男		村民	
卢发荣	男		村民	
王南凯	男		村民	13885152254
杨贵玉	女		村民	
王光翠	女		村民	13772246221
陈进翠	女		村民	15991182016
岳虎芳	女		村民	13992592421
杨发荣	男		村民	
陈永发	男		村民	18791554361
高发荣	女		村民	

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
高文达	男		村民	13649157528
卢开友	男		村民	13571425917
程发兵	男		村民	13029452926
程发兵	女		村民	6061079
陈玉梅	女		村民	13772230995
岳品玉	女		村民	1310957562
王南河	男		村民	13871427200
王永才	男		村民	15229557060
王发荣	男		村民	1599254906
王发荣	女		村民	15571441589
朱远德	男	宁陕旅游协会	理事长	13992535116

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
陈加喜	女		村民	
高飞	男		村民	
马电师	男		村民	
马金喜	男		村民	
陈航	男		村民	
张斌	男		村民	
郭志英	女		村民	
薛建春	女		村民	
高朋	女		村民	
王文香	女		村民	

宁陕节柴灶项目 GS 利益相关方听证会签到表

会议时间:				
会议地点:				
姓名	性别	所在镇/村	职业或单位	联系方式
万慧	女		世界自然基金会陕西办	15229755355
刘钧立	男		世界自然基金会陕西办	13609287975

ANNEX 2. ORIGINAL EVALUATION FORMS

宁陕节柴灶项目利益相关方会议
评估表

姓名	郭志莲
你对会议的印象如何?	我对这个会表示非常满意。 也非常感谢赞助商与人民政府。
你认为项目有哪些好处?	这个项目对节柴灶的改造, 及能减少木柴的用量, 又能减少烟气的排放, 对空气的污染, 节省森林资源, 我个人认为非常好。
你认为项目的不足有哪些?	没有
签名	郭志莲

宁陕节柴灶项目利益相关方会议

评估表

姓名	熊东
你对会议的印 象如何?	很好
你认为项目有 哪些好处?	节约能源, 减少劳动力, 改善家庭 卫生环境.
你认为项目的 不足有哪些?	
签名	熊东

宁陕节柴灶项目利益相关方会议

评估表

姓名	陈达俊
你对会议的印象如何?	会议内容多, 信息比较丰富。
你认为项目有哪些好处?	节能减排, 减少柴火的浪费, 改善农村环境。 环境好, 减少空气污染。
你认为项目的不足有哪些?	无。
签名	陈达俊:

宁陕节柴灶项目利益相关方会议

评估表

姓名	王尚河
你对会议的印象如何?	非常满意。
你认为项目有哪些好处?	节省木材资源, 改善生活用煤, 同时节省劳动力。
你认为项目的不足有哪些?	没有
签名	王尚河

ANNEX 3. ODA DECLARATION



Date: [July 23rd, 2013]

The Gold Standard Foundation

79 Avenue Louis Casal

Geneva Cointrin, CH-1216

Switzerland

RE: Declaration of Non-Use of Official Development Assistance by Project Owner of [GS 2429]

[Project Owner]

As Project Owner of the above-referenced project, and acting on behalf of all Project Participants, I now make the following representations:

[Project Representative]

I hereby declare that I am duly and fully authorized by the Project Owner of the above-referenced project to act on behalf of all Project Participants and make the following representations:

I. The Gold Standard Documentation

I am familiar with the provisions of The Gold Standard Documentation relevant to Official Development Assistance (ODA). I understand that the above-referenced project is not eligible for Gold Standard registration if the project receives or benefits from Official Development Assistance with the condition that some, or all, of the carbon credits [CERs, ERUs, or VERs] coming out of the project are transferred to the ODA donor country. I hereby expressly declare that no financing provided in connection with the above-referenced project has come from or will come from ODA that has been or will be provided under the condition, whether express or implied, that any or all of the carbon credits issued as a result of the project's operation will be transferred directly or indirectly to the country of origin of the ODA.

II. Duty to Notify Upon Discovery

If I learn or if I am given any reason to believe at any stage of project design or implementation that ODA has been used to support the development or implementation of the project, or that an entity providing ODA to the host country may at some point in the future benefit directly or indirectly from the carbon credits generated from the project as a condition of investment, I will notify The Gold Standard immediately using the Amended ODA Declaration Form provided below.

III. Investigation



The Gold Standard[®]
Premium quality carbon credits

The Gold Standard reserves the right to conduct an investigation into any project it reasonably believes may be receiving ODA with the condition that some or all of the carbon credits from the project will be transferred to the ODA donor country.

IV. Sanctions

I am fully aware that the sanctions identified in The Gold Standard Terms and Conditions may be applied to me or the above-referenced project in the event that any of the information provided above is false or I fail to notify The Gold Standard of any changes to ODA in a timely manner.

I swear that all of the statements contained herein are true to the best of my knowledge.

Signed:



Name:

Peter Beaudoin

Title:

Chief Executive Officer

On behalf of:

World Wide Fund for Nature Beijing Office

Place:

Beijing, China

Influence. Innovate. Inspire.
www.edmgoldstandard.org

